Part 4
How to Perform a Written Appeal
Translating Clinical Judgment Into a Durable, Defensible Record

Purpose of This Section

Written appeals are a distinct and essential component of denials management. Unlike peer-to-peer reviews, which are conversational and time-limited, written appeals provide the opportunity to present a structured, comprehensive, and durable argument that integrates clinical reasoning, documentation, and regulatory or contractual standards.

This section teaches Physician Advisors how to decide when a written appeal is warranted, structure an effective appeal, translate clinical judgment into written logic, and incorporate regulatory arguments appropriately while maintaining professional credibility.

How Written Appeals Differ From Peer-to-Peer Reviews

Peer-to-peer reviews and written appeals serve different purposes. Peer-to-peer discussions are conversational, time-constrained, and often based on limited documentation. Written appeals allow comprehensive presentation of facts, creation of a permanent record, and formal consideration of regulatory and policy arguments.

Where Regulatory Arguments Belong

During peer-to-peer reviews, payer medical directors—particularly those representing Medicare Advantage Organizations—often decline to engage in regulatory discussion, directing such arguments to the written appeal process.

Written appeals are the appropriate forum for regulatory arguments, including CMS regulations, statutory standards, and requirements that Medicare Advantage plans not be more restrictive than Traditional Medicare. Avoidance of regulatory discussion during a peer-to-peer review does not diminish the validity of these arguments.

When a Written Appeal Is Warranted

A written appeal is appropriate when a denial persists after peer-to-peer review, regulatory or policy issues were not addressed verbally, documentation supports the clinical rationale, or there is strategic or educational value in escalation.

Preparing for a Written Appeal

Before drafting a written appeal, the Physician Advisor should review the denial and peer-to-peer outcome, identify the strongest clinical and regulatory arguments, and determine which points are best made in writing. Effective appeals are focused, not exhaustive.

Structuring an Effective Written Appeal

1. Brief Case Summary

Provide a concise summary of the patient's presentation, acuity, and reason for hospitalization to establish context without restating the entire medical record.

2. Clinical Rationale for Medical Necessity

Explain why inpatient or continued hospitalization was required, why a lower level of care was insufficient, and what risks and uncertainties existed at the time of the clinical decision. Emphasize prospective judgment over outcomes.

3. Addressing the Denial Rationale

Identify the payer's stated denial rationale and respond directly and concisely. Avoid emotional language and broad accusations. Precision and clarity build credibility.

4. Regulatory and Policy Alignment

When applicable, incorporate relevant regulatory or policy standards, such as Traditional Medicare's prospective admission principles and requirements that Medicare Advantage plans not apply more restrictive criteria. Regulatory arguments should support—not replace—clinical reasoning.

5. Conclusion and Requested Action

Restate the requested action clearly and professionally. Maintain a measured tone focused on reconsideration rather than confrontation.

Tone and Style Considerations

Effective written appeals are clear, clinically grounded, structured, and respectful. Ineffective appeals rely on volume, excessive policy quotation, adversarial tone, or attempts to 'win' rather than persuade.

The Physician Advisor's Role in Written Appeals

Physician Advisors are not responsible for filing logistics or deadlines. Their role is to construct the clinical narrative, articulate risk and uncertainty, align arguments with regulatory standards, and exercise strategic restraint.

Key Takeaway

Written appeals are where clinical reasoning becomes permanent and regulatory standards can be addressed directly. They should be used selectively and thoughtfully as a deliberate extension of clinical judgment.

Course Integration Note

Part 4 completes the denials management framework, following the progression from understanding denials, to reviewing and preparing, to peer-to-peer execution, and finally to written appeals.

© 2026 Streamline Solutions Consulting, Inc. All rights reserved. Licensed for individual use only. Redistribution prohibited.