How to Perform a Written Appeal – Knowledge Check

Instructions: Select the best answer for each question.

1. The primary advantage of a written appeal compared to a peer-to-peer review is that it:

- A. Eliminates the need for clinical reasoning
- B. Provides a structured and durable record of the argument
- C. Guarantees denial reversal
- D. Avoids the need for documentation review

2. Written appeals are considered the appropriate forum for:

- A. Emotional arguments against payers
- B. Regulatory and policy-based arguments
- C. Nursing staffing complaints
- D. Verbal-only discussions

3. A written appeal is generally warranted when:

- A. Every denial is received
- B. A denial persists after peer-to-peer review
- C. There is no supporting documentation
- D. The payer automatically approves appeals

4. Before drafting a written appeal, the Physician Advisor should:

- A. Copy the entire medical record into the appeal
- B. Focus only on policy citations
- C. Identify the strongest clinical and regulatory arguments
- D. Avoid reviewing the peer-to-peer outcome

5. The brief case summary section of an appeal should:

- A. Restate the entire chart in detail
- B. Provide concise context regarding the patient's presentation and acuity
- C. Focus exclusively on billing information
- D. Exclude hospitalization details

© 2026 Streamline Solutions Consulting, Inc. All rights reserved. Licensed for individual use only. Redistribution prohibited.

6. The clinical rationale section of a written appeal should emphasize:

- A. Retrospective patient outcomes only
- B. Prospective clinical judgment and patient risk
- C. Financial reimbursement concerns
- D. Coding software limitations

7. When addressing the denial rationale, effective appeals should:

- A. Use emotional and adversarial language
- B. Avoid responding directly to payer concerns
- C. Respond directly and concisely with clarity and precision
- D. Focus entirely on criticizing payer behavior

8. According to the lesson, regulatory arguments should:

- A. Replace clinical reasoning entirely
- B. Support clinical reasoning rather than replace it
- C. Be avoided in written appeals
- D. Be used only in peer-to-peer reviews

9. Which of the following is considered an ineffective appeal characteristic?

- A. Structured clinical reasoning
- B. Respectful tone
- C. Excessive policy quotation and adversarial tone
- D. Clear requested action

10. The Physician Advisor's role in written appeals includes:

- A. Managing payer billing systems
- B. Filing all administrative paperwork personally
- C. Constructing the clinical narrative and articulating risk and uncertainty
- D. Avoiding regulatory discussion entirely

© 2026 Streamline Solutions Consulting, Inc. All rights reserved. Licensed for individual use only. Redistribution prohibited.

Answer Key and Explanations

1. B — Provides a structured and durable record of the argument

Written appeals create a permanent and organized presentation of clinical reasoning, documentation, and regulatory standards.

2. B — Regulatory and policy-based arguments

Written appeals are the proper setting for CMS regulations, policy standards, and Medicare Advantage-related arguments.

3. B — A denial persists after peer-to-peer review

Written appeals are appropriate when denials remain unresolved after peer-to-peer discussion or require escalation.

4. C — Identify the strongest clinical and regulatory arguments

Strong appeals are focused and strategic, highlighting the most persuasive clinical and regulatory points.

5. B — Provide concise context regarding the patient's presentation and acuity

The case summary should efficiently establish the patient's condition and reason for hospitalization.

6. B — Prospective clinical judgment and patient risk

Effective appeals emphasize the physician's judgment, anticipated risk, and uncertainty present at the time of care.

7. C — Respond directly and concisely with clarity and precision

Appeals should avoid emotional language and instead address the denial rationale clearly and professionally.

8. B — Support clinical reasoning rather than replace it

Regulatory standards strengthen appeals when used to reinforce the clinical narrative.

9. C — Excessive policy quotation and adversarial tone

Ineffective appeals often rely on confrontation and excessive quotation rather than persuasive clinical reasoning.

© 2026 Streamline Solutions Consulting, Inc. All rights reserved. Licensed for individual use only. Redistribution prohibited.

10. C — Constructing the clinical narrative and articulating risk and uncertainty

© 2026 Streamline Solutions Consulting, Inc. All rights reserved. Licensed for individual use only. Redistribution prohibited.